www.rsc.org/dalton

llon

Aminoallenylidene complexes of ruthenium(II) from the regioselective addition of secondary amines to butatrienylidene intermediates: a combined experimental and theoretical study of the hindered rotation around the CN-bond †

Rainer F. Winter,^a Stephan Hartmann,^a Stanislav Záliš^b and Karl Wilhelm Klinkhammer^c

^a Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Universität Stuttgart, Pfaffenwaldring 55, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germany. E-mail: winter@iac.uni-stuttgart.de

^b J. Heyrovský Institute of Physical Chemistry, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Doleiškova 3. Prague, Czech Republic, E-mail: stanislav.zalis@ih-inst.cas.cz

^c Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Johannes-Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, Duesbergweg 10-14, D-55099 Mainz, Germany. E-mail: klink@uni-mainz.de

Received 28th November 2002, Accepted 14th April 2003 First published as an Advance Article on the web 29th April 2003

Aminoallenylidene complexes *trans*-[Cl(dppm)₂RuC₃(NRR')(CH₃)]⁺ are obtained from the regioselective addition of secondary amines to *trans*-[Cl(dppm)₂Ru=C=C=C=CH₂]⁺. Unsymmetrically substituted amines give rise to Z/Eisomeric mixtures. Dynamic ³¹P NMR spectroscopy gave an energy barrier of about 85 kJ mol⁻¹ for rotation around the CN-bond pointing to a large contribution of the iminium alkynyl resonance form *trans*-[Cl(dppm)₂Ru-C=C-C(=NRR')(CH₃)]⁺. This is also indicated by the pronounced bond length alternation within the RuC₃N-chain as is revealed by X-ray structure analysis of the Z isomer of the (benzylmethyl)methylamine derivative **2d**. The issue of NR₂ rotation was also addressed by DFT calculations on the *trans*-[Cl(dppm)₂RuC₃{N(CH₃)₂}(CH₃)]⁺ model complex (dhpm = H₂PCH₂PH₂). Upon rotation around the iminium type CN bond, the nitrogen lone pair and the π -system of the allenylidene ligand are decoupled, resulting in a significantly longer CN bond and a tetrahedrally coordinated nitrogen atom.

Introduction

Allenylidene complexes continue to attract great attention as reactive organometallic building blocks allowing for further functionalization of the reactive cumulenylidene ligand.¹⁻⁴ Gratifyingly many of these transformations proceed in a highly regioselective manner. This is due to the alternation of nucleophilic and electrophilic sites along the unsaturated ligand and the directing electronic and steric influence of the transition metal moiety. While reactions of coordinatively unsaturated, yet electron rich transition metal complexes with suitably substituted propargylic alcohols according to Selegue's protocol⁵ provide a highly useful access route to all-carbon substituted allenylidene complexes, congeners with a heteroatom substituent attached to the allenylidene ligand cannot be prepared in this manner. Although a first aminoallenylidene complex was published as early as 1976,⁶ more general routes were only developed during the past decade. Some involve the nucleophilic substitution of the alkoxy group of alkynyl substituted Fischer carbene complexes $(CO)_5M=C(OR)(C=CR')$ by secondary amines⁷⁻⁹ or the Lewis-acid induced abstraction of a NR₂ group from anionic complexes [(CO)₅M-C=C- $C(NMe_2)_3^{-1}$ (M = Cr, W).¹⁰ Other methods employ complexes having even more extended cumulenylidene ligands. Thus, cationic methyl or vinyl substituted aminoallenylidene complexes are formed from the regioselective addition of secondary amines to the remote C=CR2 double bond of complexes [{Ru}= $C=C_n=CR_2^{+}$ $(n = 2; \{Ru\} = CpRu(PPh_3)_2, n = 3; \{Ru\} = 3$ $trans-Cl(dppe)_2Ru$,¹³ {Ru} = ($\eta^6-C_6Me_6$)Ru(PR₃)Cl¹⁴). Similar reactions of neutral {(CO)₅Cr=C=C=C=C(NMe₂)₂} have also been reported.¹⁰ We have recently shown that the addition

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: IR spectra recorded during the reduction of complex **2b** and the subsequent reoxidation (Fig. S1), UV/Vis spectroelectrochemical investigation of the reduction of compound **2b** (Fig. S2) and the calculated energy profile of *trans*-[Cl(dhpm)₂RuC₃{N(CH₃)₂}(CH₃)]⁺ with a planar N(CH₃)₂ group upon rotation around the C₇N bond (Fig. S3). See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/b2/b211774f/

of allyl substituted tertiary amines to the carbon atom C_{γ} of the elusive primary butatrienylidene intermediates *trans*-[Cl(L₂)₂-Ru=C=C=C=CH₂]⁺ (L₂ = dppm, dppe, depe) initially provides ammoniobutenynyl complexes *trans*-[Cl(dppm)₂Ru-C=C-C-(NMe₂CH₂CH=CRH)=CH₂]⁺ which readily undergo Copetype rearrangement to their aminoallenylidene isomers *trans*-[Cl(L₂)₂Ru=C=C=C(NMe)₂(CH₂CRHCH=CH₂)]⁺.^{15,16}

As part of our ongoing investigations on the reactivity of the primary butatrienylidene complex trans-[Cl(dppm)₂Ru=C=C= $C=CH_2$ ⁺ we have investigated its reactions with symmetrically and unsymmetrically substituted secondary amines R2NH and RR'NH. The results of these studies are reported herein and include (i) the synthesis and characterization of aminoallenvlidene complexes trans-[Cl(dppm)₂Ru=C=C=C(NRR')(CH₃)]⁺, (ii) the formation of Z/E isomeric mixtures when unsymmetrically substituted secondary amines are employed, the latter being due to restricted rotation around the C_vN bond, (iii) the determination of the rotational barriers for the $Z \rightarrow E$ and the \rightarrow Z interconversions of the *tert*-butylmethylamine derivative and (iv) the results of a theoretical study on trans-[Cl(dppm)₂- $Ru=C=C=C{N(CH_3)_2}(CH_3)]^+$ and trans-[Cl(dhpm)_2Ru=C=C=C=C=C=C $C{N(CH_3)_2}(CH_3)^+$ (dhpm = $H_2PCH_2PH_2$). The latter model complex was introduced in order to address the energy barrier to rotation around the $C_{\gamma}N$ bond. The Z-isomer of the N-benzylmethylamine derived complex was also characterized by X-ray analysis.

Results and discussion

Aminoallenylidene complexes: *trans*-[Cl(dppm)₂-Ru=C=C=C(NR₂)(CH₃)]⁺

The trapping of the primary butatrienylidene complexes trans-[Cl(dppm)₂Ru=C_a=C_p=C_q=C_b=C_q=H₂]⁺ by secondary amines follows the general pathway observed for the addition of protic nucleophiles to like species.^{11,12,17-19} In each case the amine regioselectively adds to carbon atom C_q, which has been attributed to a favourable combination of electronic and steric





effects.^{16,20} This initial attack is followed by proton migration from the ammonium site to the neighbouring methylene group to afford aminoallenylidene complexes trans-[Cl(dppm)2Ru=C= $C=C(NRR')(CH_3)]^+$ (2a-f) as greenish to orange yellow air stable solids after appropriate workup (Scheme 1). In contrast to the addition/Cope-type rearrangement sequence observed with allyl substituted tertiary amines as trapping agents^{15,16} we have not been able to detect the primary addition products trans-[Cl(dppm)₂Ru–C=C–C(NRR'H)=CH₂]⁺²¹ by either ³¹P NMR or IR spectroscopies. Proton migration from the primary addition product may occur via a deprotonation/reprotonation sequence catalysed by the excess amine. This would account for the lower lifetime of such an intermediate. Much to our surprise, the diethylamino substituted complex 2a was also formed in good yield from the trapping of the butatrienylidene intermediate by 4-(diethylaminomethyl)-2,5-dimethylphenol. This process requires desamination of the primary addition product as is shown in Scheme 2. 4-Methylene-2,5-dimethylcyclohexadienone or 2,5,2',5'-tetramethylstilbene-4,4'-diol may be envisioned as the organic byproducts. All our attempts to verify the formation of any of these have not led to unambiguous results. Since 4-alkylidenecyclohexadienones are accessible from 4-chloromethylphenols by base promoted HCl elimination,²² a similar degradation of the primarily formed organometallic ammonium salt with concomitant formation of the reactive quinoidal ketone constitutes a likely pathway for this degradation step.

In their IR spectra the CCC-valence band of the unsaturated ligand often referred to as the "allenylidene stretch" is observed as a highly intense band at 1992 cm⁻¹ for the diethylamino substituted complex **2a** but at considerably lower energies (1967 and 1941 cm⁻¹) for the iminostilbene and phenothiazine derived complexes **2b,c**. The same holds for another prominent feature which appears at *ca.* 1550–1570 cm⁻¹ for alkylamino substituted allenylidene complexes but is shifted to *ca.* 1490 cm⁻¹ for complexes **2b,c**. Our previously reported calculations at the DFT level of theory have shown that the band at higher energy mainly involves the C_aC_b bond, reflecting the bond order at this

specific position.¹⁶ The band near 1550 cm⁻¹ has been assigned to a vibration involving the C_vN stretch as well as angular distortions of the substituents attached to these atoms.¹⁶ Taken together, a red shift of both these absorption signals a lower contribution of the alkynyl type resonance forms II and III in Chart 1 for aminoallenylidene complexes with less electron donating amino moieties. Similar trends prevail in ¹³C NMR and UV/Vis spectroscopies. The higher cumulenylidene character of 2b,c results in a sizable downfield shift of the C_a resonance signal by ca. 25 ppm. Likewise, the optical absorption bands due to the HOMO-LUMO and the HOMO-1 \rightarrow LUMO transitions move to lower energies by *ca*. 1500 cm⁻¹ as compared to other allenylidene complexes bearing alkyl substituted amino groups.¹⁶ Similar, but even stronger effects have been observed when NR2 substituents are replaced by SR and SeR moieties, which are even less potent π -donors.^{35,36}



In their ³¹P NMR spectra the heterocyclically substituted complexes **2b**,**c** display an AA'BB' multiplet. This is in sharp contrast to all other complexes within this series where the four P atoms are equivalent and give rise to only a singlet resonance. Signal patterns of this type point to the presence of a chiral





element within the ligand backbone.²¹ In **2b**,c this asymmetry presumably arises from the relative orientations of the two planes defined by the metal atom, the carbon atoms of the unsaturated ligand and the atoms linked to C_{ν} on one hand and the ring plane of the heterocycle on the other. This is schematically shown in Chart 2 where the solid bar represents the plane of the organic heterocycle. Interconversion between the two enantiomers requires rotation around the CyN bond. This process is, however, slow on the NMR timescale.¹⁶ Upon warming a solution of 2b in CD₃NO₂ to 368 K no broadening of the individual resonance lines of either the multiplet signal in the ³¹P NMR or the resonance signals in the ¹H NMR spectra were observed. Judging from the shift difference of 36.5 Hz between the two olefinic protons attached to the vinyl group linking the two arene rings, the rotational barrier must well exceed 78 kJ mol^{-1} .



Hindered rotation around this bond is, however, not restricted to derivatives with bulky substituents on the nitrogen atom but may be attenuated by these. In several NMe₂ substituted derivatives and in the diethyl substituted complex 2a the nitrogen bound substituents were always found to be non-equivalent, even at elevated temperature. We note that the room-temperature NMR spectra of neutral aminoallenylidene complexes of the Cr(CO)₅ moiety also indicate the presence of magnetically inequivalent amine substituents.⁷⁻¹⁰ High barriers to rotation around the C_{γ}-N bond thus seem to be an intrinsic property of these systems. In this respect, complexes **2b,c** do not differ from their aliphatic counterparts.

Derivatives: trans-[Cl(dppm)2Ru=C=C=C(NRR')(CH3)]+

Due to hindered rotation around the $C_{\gamma}N$ bond, the addition of unsymmetrically substituted secondary amines results in the

formation of the corresponding aminoallenylidene complexes as E/Z isomeric mixtures. Conjugation across the C₃N ligand requires that the NRR' group is nearly coplanar with the RuC₃N plane. In this conformation one of the substituents on the nitrogen atom inevitably points toward the diphosphine ligands, leading to unfavourable steric interactions. The E/Zselectivity of the equilibrated isomeric mixtures therefore depends on the difference in the steric demand of the amine substituents and increases from 3:2 for the benzylmethyl derivative 2d to 2:1 for the (9-anthracenylmethyl)methyl derivative 2e and to 7 : 3 for the *tert*-butylmethyl amine derivative 2f. The isomeric ratios were determined from the crude reaction products. Recrystallization or chromatographic workup resulted in a partial separation of these isomers and the isolation of the pure Z isomer of the benzylmethyl amine derivative as dark yellow crystals. Spectroscopic studies on samples differing in their Z/Eratios allowed us to assign all crucial resonance signals to the individual isomers.

In each case the ¹H NMR resonances of substituents pointing toward the dppm ligands appear at comparatively higher field. This can be traced back to the aromatic ring current of the nearby phenyl rings. The N-benzylmethylamine derivative 2d illustrates this point: The Z isomer with the benzyl ring oriented toward the transition metal moiety shows singlet signals at δ 2.68 ppm for the NCH₃ and at δ 3.93 ppm for the NCH₂ protons whereas these resonances are located at δ 2.13 and 4.69 ppm for the E isomer. Similar trends are observed in their ^{13}C NMR spectra. Furthermore, each isomer displays a separate singlet signal in the ³¹P NMR spectrum. These different resonances are, however, very closely spaced. In dynamic NMR the coalescence temperature increases with the shift difference between the resonances of those groups that are directly involved in the dynamic process. Their close proximity thus provided another opportunity to experimentally determine the activation energies associated with rotation around the C.N bond. In the case of the tert-butylmethyl derivative 2f we finally succeeded. Upon warming a solution of this complex in 1,2-C₂D₂Cl₄ the two singlet resonance signals first broaden and finally coalesce at T = 398 K. At even higher temperatures the singlet resonance signal reversibly sharpens (Fig. 1).

In order to extract activation energies from these data one has to rely on a model that accounts for the presence of two



Fig. 1 Dynamic ³¹P NMR investigations on compound 2f.

energetically distinct and unequally populated states. This requires determining the resonance frequencies for both isomers over a wide temperature range. Within a regime sufficiently below the coalescence temperature T_c the shift difference between the individual isomers is a linear function of temperature. Extrapolation to $T_{\rm c}$ furnishes the theoretical shift difference $\Delta v_{\rm th}$ at $T = T_{\rm c}$. The rate constants for the mutual interconversion between the two isomers are available from eqns. (1) and (2), where Δv represents the difference in resonance frequencies and Δp is the difference in the population of the energetically distinct states. The parameter X depends on Δp and may be taken from tabulated values.²³ The free energies of activation ΔG^{\neq} are then available from the rate constants as given by eqns. (3) and (4).²⁴ Here, $k_{\rm h}$ is the Boltzmann constant, h the Planck constant and κ the transmission factor which is usually set as equal to unity.

$$k_{Z \longrightarrow E} = \pi \Delta v X^{-1} (1 - \Delta p) \tag{1}$$

$$k_{E \longrightarrow Z} = \pi \Delta v X^{-1} (1 + \Delta p) \tag{2}$$

$$k_{Z \longrightarrow E} = \kappa k_{\mathbf{b}} T_{\mathbf{c}} h^{-1} \exp(-\Delta G^{\neq}_{Z \longrightarrow E} R^{-1} T^{-1})$$
(3)

$$k_{E \longrightarrow Z} = \kappa k_{\rm b} T_{\rm c} h^{-1} \exp(-\Delta G^{\neq}_{E \longrightarrow Z} R^{-1} T^{-1})$$
 (4)

According to this procedure, the barriers to rotation were determined as 86.4 $(E \rightarrow Z)$ and 84.1 kJ mol⁻¹ $(Z \rightarrow E)$, giving an energy difference of 2.3 kJ mol⁻¹ for the two isomers. For all other compounds the spacing of the resonance signals for the individual isomers was larger and did not allow us to access the free rotation limit. We note that the energy barriers obtained for **2f** are somewhat lower as those observed for organic imines.²⁵ They agree, however, well with values reported for donor substituted iminium salts like the *N*,*N*-dimethyl-(methylthio) and *N*,*N*-dimethylamino(methoxy) derivatives (Me₂N)(EMe)C=NMe₂⁺ (E = O, S).²⁶ Despite their distinct NMR spectroscopic characteristics, only one CCC and C=N band in their IR spectra and only one oxidation wave in cyclic

voltammetry (*vide infra*) were observed for the isomeric mixtures. Obviously the influence of the relative orientations of the different substituents on the nitrogen atom on these properties is too small to be resolved.

Structural characterization of *trans-Z*-[Cl(dppm)₂Ru=C=C=C-(NMeCH₂Ph)(CH₃)]⁺SbF₆⁻

Dark yellow crystals of the Z isomer of the benzylmethylamine derivative 2d were obtained upon slow concentration of a solution in dichloromethane-diethyl ether and investigated by X-ray crystallography. A plot of the complex cation is shown in Fig. 2 while pertinent bond parameters are given as Table 1. Common structural features of aminoallenylidene complexes are a pronounced bond length alternation along the C₃ chain of the unsaturated ligand, a rather long Ru-C_a bond and, most notably, a planar coordination of the nitrogen atom attached to C_{v} . These mirror the strongly dipolar character of neutral congeners^{6,8-10,27} and the dissipation of the positive charge over the MC₃N chain in cationic derivatives such as the aminoallenylidene complexes discussed herein.^{12,16} The complex cation of Z-2d fully adheres to these trends. Thus, the Ru-C(1) bond length of 1.947(6) Å is shorter than those typically observed in alkynyl complexes of the same metal entity (1.994-2.078 Å),²⁸ but long with respect to related all-carbon substituted derivatives $[{Ru}=C=C=R']^+$ (R, R' = alkyl, aryl; 1.84–1.903 Å).² While the C(1)–C(2) bond of 1.217(9) Å is only slightly long with respect to a typical C=C triple bond (average value 1.189 Å) the C(2)–C(3) distance of 1.398(9) Å is significantly longer than a C=C double bond (d(C=C) in allenes = 1.307 Å) and resembles a single bond between an sp and an sp² hybridized carbon atom (1.431 Å).²⁹ Moreover, the C(3)-N bond (1.290(10) Å) is much shorter than the N-C bonds to the methyl and the benzylic methylene carbon atoms (C(5)–N 1.432(12)and C(41)-N 1.512(9) Å). Along with the strictly planar coordination of the nitrogen atom this provides further compelling evidence of a distinct iminium-like character of the NRR' group. This latter entity is nearly, but not exactly, coplanar to the plane containing the carbon atoms C(1) to C(3), and the nitrogen and the ruthenium atoms, as follows from the torsional angle C(2)-C(3)-N-C(5) of 7.2°. The distance C(3)-C(31) of 1.526(10) Å lies in the range typical of a $C(sp^2)-C(sp^3)$ single bond.29



Fig. 2 Structure of the cation of *Z*-trans-[Cl(dppm)₂RuCCC-(NMeCH₂Ph)(CH₃)]⁺ as determined by X-ray crystallography.

A closer inspection of the structure of compound 2d explains the rather low E/Z selectivity of 3 : 2. In the solid state the phenyl group is rotated away from the phenyl rings on the dppm

Table 1 Comparison between selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for the cation in complex **4d** and the values calculated for trans-[Cl(dppm)₂RuC₃{N(CH₃)₂(CH₃)]⁺ by the ADF/BP method (square brackets)

$\begin{array}{c} Ru(1)-P(11)\\ Ru(1)-P(12)\\ Ru(1)-P(21)\\ Ru(1)-P(22)\\ Ru(1)-C1\\ Ru(1)-C(1)\\ C(1)-C(2)\\ C(2)-C(3)\\ C(3)-N\\ C(3)-C(31)\\ N-C(5) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.3446(19)\\ 2.349(2)\\ 2.3474(19)\\ 2.3593(19)\\ 2.4763(17)\\ 1.947(6)\\ 1.217(9)\\ 1.398(9)\\ 1.290(10)\\ 1.526(12)\\ 1.432(12)\\ \end{array}$	[2.373] [2.366] [2.391] [2.393] [2.483] [1.943] [1.255] [1.379] [1.347] [1.524] [1.469]	$\begin{array}{l} N-C(41) \\ C(1)-Ru(1)-Cl \\ C(2)-C(1)-Ru(1) \\ C(1)-C(2)-C(3) \\ N-C(3)-C(2) \\ C(2)-C(3)-C(31) \\ N-C(3)-C(31) \\ C(3)-N-C(5) \\ C(3)-N-C(5) \\ C(3)-N-C(41) \\ C(5)-N-C(41) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.512(10)\\ 173.35(19)\\ 175.6(6)\\ 170.8(8)\\ 123.6(8)\\ 117.4(7)\\ 118.9(7)\\ 123.0(7)\\ 120.5(8)\\ 116.0(8) \end{array}$	$ \begin{bmatrix} 1.510 \\ 174.9 \\ 175.4 \\ 175.5 \\ 121.2 \\ 119.1 \\ 119.5 \\ 123.8 \\ 121.0 \\ 115.1 \end{bmatrix} $
---	--	---	--	---	---

Table 2Electrochemical data for complexes 2a-f in CH_2Cl_2 solution

	$E_{1/2}^{+/2+}/V$	$E_{1/2}^{+/0}/V$	$E_{ m follow}/{ m V}$
2a	+0.41	-2.33^{a}	-2.63^{d}
2b	+0.77	-1.955 ^{b,c}	$-1.34^{d}, -0.025^{e}$
2c	$+0.79^{b}$	-1.625 ^{b, c}	-0.04^{d}
2d	+0.585	_	_
	$+0.525^{f}$	-2.17^{e}	-1.35^{d}
2e	+0.520	-2.19	
2f	$+0.56^{b}$	_	

^{*a*} Partially reversible at 195 K. ^{*b*} Partially reversible at 298 K. ^{*c*} Suffers from slow electron transfer kinetics. ^{*d*} Irreversible peak following reduction. ^{*e*} Wave of a (partially) reversible couple following reduction. ^{*f*} In THF–NBu₄PF₆

ligands such that the benzyl group provides only little more steric hindrance than a methyl substituent. For the related anthracenylmethyl derivative 2e the orientation is likely the same. The more extended fused ring system increases the steric hindrance in the Z isomer such that the E/Z selectivity is larger. In the Z isomer of the *tert*-butylmethyl derivative 2f one of the methyl groups at the *tert*-butyl substituent inevitably points toward the dppm ligand which further enhances steric discrimination between the two isomers

Electrochemical and spectroelectrochemical investigations

In cyclic voltammetry, complexes **2a,d–f** undergo a reversible one-electron oxidation at potentials ranging from +0.41 to +0.59 V vs. the ferrocene–ferrocenium couple (Table 2). With reference to our earlier studies on closely related derivatives *trans*-[Cl(dppm)₂Ru=C=C=C(NR₂)(C₂H₄CH=CH₂)]⁺ (R = Me, C₅H₁₀) this process may be viewed as the Ru(II/III) couple.¹⁶ Complexes **2d–f** which exist as *Z/E* isomeric mixtures display only one slightly broadened anodic wave in cyclic voltammetry, square wave or differential pulse voltammetry experiments, the individual isomers being indistinguishable by electrochemical techniques.

The oxidation of complex **2a** has been followed by simultaneous IR monitoring in an *in situ* spectroelectrochemical experiment. Upon oxidation the "allenylidene band" shifts by 45 cm⁻¹ to lower energy ($v_{CCC} = 1948 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) along with a considerable absorptivity loss. The iminium type C=N band shows contrasting behaviour, moving to higher energy (from 1557 to 1597 cm⁻¹) and retaining its original absorptivity (see also Table 3). Subsequent reduction regenerates the bands of the starting material in *ca.* 85% optical yield. These results are best accommodated by invoking the two mesomeric resonance forms **IV** and **V** (Chart 3). Both agree with the results from





theoretical calculations in placing the unpaired spin on either the metal or the β -carbon atom and with the IR results in retaining (or even strengthening) the C=N double bond. In UV/ Vis spectroelectrochemistry a blue shift of both absorption bands in the visible regime is observed, the band at higher energies now appearing as a shoulder superimposed on the phosphine based transitions. The results are virtually identical to those for the related piperidinyl substituted complex *trans*-[Cl(dppm)₂Ru=C=C=C{N(C₅H₁₀)}(C₂H₄CH=CH₂)]⁺ or similar derivatives.¹⁶

Complexes 2b,c in which the nitrogen atom is part of a less basic unsaturated heterocycle are oxidized at considerably higher potentials of +0.77 (2b) or +0.79 V (2c) (Fig. 3). If one assumes that the predominantly metal centred nature of the anodic oxidation process is still retained in 2b,c, this finding provides evidence for effective conjugation along the ruthenabutatriene chain. The electronic properties of the amine (iminium) substituents are thus effectively transmitted from the iminium to the $\{Cl(dppm)_2Ru\}$ sites across the C₃ chain. Some ambiguity as to the oxidation site, however, arises from the fact that the parent heterocyclic amines are themselves electroactive, being oxidized at even less anodic potentials than is typically the case for the aminoallenylidene complexes under study.^{30,31} In principle, 2b,c therefore might form mixed-valent species exhibiting intramolecular electron transfer between an organic and a (predominantly) inorganic redox site.32



Fig. 3 Cyclic voltammogram of compound **2b**: (a) v = 0.75 V s⁻¹ at ambient temperature and (b) cathodic scan at v = 0.4 V s⁻¹ at ambient temperature.

Evidence from spectroelectrochemical studies, however, argues against any involvement of the heterocylic substituent in the oxidation process. Spectroscopic changes upon oxidation are qualitatively identical as for complexes with aliphatic substituents on the iminium nitrogen atom as is exemplified for complex **2b**. In IR spectroscopy (see Fig. 4) the allenylidene band

	$v_{\rm CCC}^{\ b}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$	$v_{C=N}^{b}/cm^{-1}$	$\lambda_{\rm max}/{\rm nm}~(\varepsilon_{\rm max}/{\rm dm^3~mol^{-1}~cm^{-1}})^c$
2a 2a ⁺	1993 (vs) 1948 (w)	1557 (m) 1597 (m)	623 (400), 393 (14200), 266 (45000) 565 (2500), 333 (13500), 271 (38000)
2b	1962 (vs)	1494 (m)	655 (640), 506 (sh, 555), 426 (23800), 300 (sh, 18400), 269 (55000)
$2b^+$	1901 (w)	1542 (m)	600 (2300), 350 (17500), 284 (49000)
2b ⁻	2055 (w), 2073 (w)	None	433 (sh, 10000), 336 (sh, 12500), 264 (44000)

 Table 3
 Results from spectroelectrochemical studies on compounds 2a,b in 1,2-C₂H₄Cl₂-NBu₄PF₆ at 293 K^a





Fig. 4 IR spectra recorded during the oxidation of complex 2b.

shifts by some 60 cm⁻¹ to lower energy with, again, considerable loss in intensity. The intensity loss probably arises from the fact that the unsaturated ligand bridges two positively charged centres (i.e. the Ru atom and the iminium moiety) in the oxidized state, while only one positively charged entity is present in the monocationic Ru(II) species. This reduces the dipole moment change during the C3 valence stretch. Similarly, the CCC-band is reportedly much weaker in neutral allenylidene complexes than in monocationic ones.³³ The same holds for the C=C stretch of apolar alkynyl complexes when compared to polar ones.³⁴ As for 2a, oxidation is also accompanied by a high-energy shift of the C=N band from 1494 to 1542 cm⁻¹. This indicates a further enhancement of the iminium character in the oxidized state and clearly argues against a ligand centred oxidation process. Similarly to other alkylamino substituted allenvlidene complexes, the absorption bands in the visible regime are shifted to higher energies upon oxidation as revealed by UV/Vis spectroelectrochemistry. The lower energy band (the HOMO-LUMO transition) gains in intensity while the absorptivity of the second band (the HOMO-1→LUMO transition) decreases (Fig. 5). We note that the trend toward red shifted absorption bands of derivatives with less electron



Fig. 5 UV/Vis spectra recorded during the oxidation of complex 2b.

donating substituents is also retained in the Ru(III) forms as follows from the comparison between the oxidized forms of complexes **2a** and **2b** (see Table 3). We therefore conclude that for the heterocyclically substituted derivatives the oxidation process still has predominant metal character. Conversion of the heterocyclic amines to iminium type derivatives obviously leads to a strong anodic shift of the oxidation potentials of the heterocyclic moiety. In fact, we have not found any additional anodic wave up to the discharge limit of the supporting electrolyte solution.

The lesser electron donating character of the NR₂ moiety in compounds **2b**,**c** also leaves its mark on their cathodic behaviour. While the reduction of complexes **2a** and **2d**–f occurs close to the cathodic solvent limit and is followed by fast chemical processes as was observed for similar derivatives (Table 2),¹⁶ **2b**,**c** are reduced at considerably less negative potentials. More significantly, the reduction waves are partially reversible even under ambient conditions and at low sweep rates as is illustrated for compound **2b** in Fig. 3. At higher sweep rates or upon cooling the chemical follow reactions are efficiently suppressed, rendering the reduction chemically and electrochemically reversible. At lower sweep rates and ambient temperature additional peaks/waves are observed during the reverse scan following reduction (peaks B and C/C' in Fig. 3(b)).

Since complexes 2b,c offer at least partial reversibility in their electrochemical reduction it was of interest to investigate this process under the same in situ IR-conditions. Upon reduction, the IR band of the allenylidene ligand collapses with the concomitant formation of two considerably less intense absorptions at 2057 and 2073 cm⁻¹ (ESI, †Fig. S1(a)). Both are typical of neutral alkynyl species. These results agree nicely with our observations on the reduction of sulfur³⁵ and selenium substituted³⁶ allenylidene complexes and studies on the chemical reduction of carbon substituted allenylidene complexes by the Touchard group.³⁷ Reoxidation was performed by slowly sweeping the potential after exhaustive reduction and occurs in a stepwise fashion: the species displaying the lower energy IR band is consumed first with partial regeneration of the starting material. Most interestingly, the second product with the IR band at 2073 cm⁻¹ is also converted back to 2b upon oxidation at a more positive potential. This is indicated by the growth of the original allenylidene IR band (ESI, †Fig. S1(b)) with the concomitant collapse of the alkynyl band at 2073 cm⁻¹. For solutions as concentrated as for the experiment shown in Fig. 6 the optical yield of the regenerated starting material was only about 60% but better results (recovery of up to 80%) were obtained, when less concentrated samples requiring shorter electrolysis times were employed. In neither case IR bands other than those of the starting material and its reduction product(s) were present in the 2200 to 1500 cm⁻¹ range throughout the experiment.

UV/Vis spectroelectrochemical investigations on the reduction of 2b (ESI, \dagger Fig. S2) yields results that also compare well to those obtained with the sulfur and selenium substituted allenylidene complexes. The intense absorption band of the ruthenabutatriene chromophore is shifted from the visible to the near UV region of the spectrum and appears as a



Fig. 6 EPR spectrum obtained upon electrochemical reduction of complex 2b.

low-energy shoulder at *ca.* 336 nm superimposed on the intense absorption of the $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition of the aryl substituted phosphine ligands (Table 3).³⁸ We note that neutral alkynyl complexes of ruthenium typically show absorptions at similar energies as is exemplified by *trans*-[Cl(dppm)₂Ru-C=CPh] (λ_{max} = 308 nm),³⁹ [Cp(PPh₃)₂Ru-C=CPh] (λ_{max} = 311 nm) and [Cp(PPh₃)₂Ru-C=C-6H₄Br-4] (λ_{max} = 325 nm).⁴⁰

It is still not clear whether any of the newly formed bands belongs to the reduced form of the parent allenvlidene complex or we are dealing with two different species arising from further chemical reactions of the authentical reduced species. We have, however, been able to characterize the primarily formed neutral radical by EPR techniques. Upon electrolytic reduction at reduced temperature (253 K) a resolved EPR signal at a g-value of 1.9959 was detected which irreversibly decays with time (Fig. 6). The splitting pattern could be reproduced by invoking coupling to three S = 1/2 nuclei with A = 15.0 G (CH₂) and two pairs of inequivalent S = 1/2 nuclei with coupling constants of 10.1 and 14.0 G (P) but without any coupling to the S = 1 nitrogen atom. The spin densities calculated for the model complex trans-[Cl(PH₃)₄Ru=C=C=C{N(CH₃)₂}(CH₃)] are 0.046 (Ru), 0.403 (C_a), -0.142 (C_b), 0.505 (C_y) and 0.140 $\{N(CH_3)_2\}$ ¹⁶ The absence of any coupling to the nitrogen atom thus comes as a surprise. The presence of two different sets of to two ³¹P nuclei each, however, clearly shows that the element of asymmetry (i.e. the sterically demanding organic ligand) is still present in the reduced species and that rotation around the C=N bond is still slow. Moreover, it argues against any fragmentation as does the overall chemical reversibility of the reduction \rightarrow reoxidation sequence.

Theoretical investigations of the rotational barrier in aminoallenylidene complexes

Despite the distinct iminium alkynyl character of cationic aminoallenvlidene complexes electronic effects need not be the only and not even the most important contributor to the energy barrier associated with rotation around the C_yN bond. Unfavourable steric interactions between the phenyl substituents on the diphosphine ligands and the substituents attached to the nitrogen atom may also play an important role. In order to disseminate between these two contributions we have undertaken a computational study employing the hydrogen substituted complex [Cl(dhpm)₂Ru=C=C=C{N(CH₃)₂}(CH₃)]⁺ $(dhpm = H_2PCH_2PH_2)$ as a model of a sterically unhindered derivative. Unlike the PH₃ substituted complex employed in our previous studies¹⁶ this dhpm model includes the effect of the angular distortion exerted by the rigid four-membered chelate. It is, however, largely devoid of steric hindrance and may thus be viewed to represent the electronic portion of the rotation barrier.

Optimization yielded a ground state geometry with essentially identical features as observed for the experimental ones such as short $C_{\alpha}C_{\beta}$ and $C_{\gamma}N$ bonds and a planar coordinated iminium type nitrogen atom (see Table 4). Unlike in the real

Table 4 Calculated bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for the optimized structures of *trans*-[Cl(dhpm)₂RuC₃(CH₃){ $N(CH_{3})_{2}$ }⁺ in the in-plane and orthogonal orientations of the NR₂ moiety

	G98/B3LY	ΥP	ADF/BP	
θ	0	90	0	90
Ru–Cl	2.541	2.529	2.492	2.476
Ru–P	2.422	2.436	2.347	2.360
$Ru-C_{a}$	1.922	1.882	1.938	1.904
$C_{a} - C_{\beta}$	1.253	1.268	1.255	1.270
$\tilde{C}_{\beta} - \tilde{C}_{\gamma}$	1.377	1.344	1.374	1.346
$C_{\gamma}^{\prime} - C(CH_3)$	1.511	1.506	1.509	1.505
C _y -N	1.342	1.434	1.347	1.439
N–C(CH ₃)	1.469	1.471	1.472	1.481
Cl-Ru-Ca	179.7	179.4	179.2	178.8
$Ru - C_{\alpha} - C_{\beta}$	179.8	178.4	178.1	177.6
$C_{\alpha} - C_{\beta} - C_{\gamma}^{\mu}$	175.4	177.8	176.3	177.5
$C_{\beta} - C_{\gamma} - N'$	121.0	118.1	121.0	117.7

structure, however, the RuC₃R and the NR₂ moieties are strictly coplanar in the dhpm model complex. Starting from this geometry the N(CH₃)₂ group was rotated around the C_{γ}N bond, the rotation being measured by the torsional angle C_{β}C_{γ}NC(CH₃). The energy change associated with CN rotation was calculated for every 10° change under the premise that the C_{γ}N(CH₃)₂ entity retains its planarity during rotation. An energy maximum was obtained for a torsional angle of 90°, *i.e.* an orthogonal orientation of the N(CH₃)₂ moiety with respect to the RuC₃(CH₃)N plane. Our results indicate an energy barrier of 148.8 kJ mol⁻¹, which is substantially larger than the experimental value.

Geometry optimization of the orthogonal rotameric form revealed, however, a lower energy structure 109.3 (G98/B3LYP) or 108.8 (ADF/BP) kJ mol⁻¹ above the global minimum. As shown in Fig. 7, it differs from the restricted geometry one in that the nitrogen atom is nearly tetrahedrally coordinated and the $C_{\gamma}N$ bond length is increased from 1.342 to 1.434 Å (Table 4). The tetrahedral environment around the nitrogen



Fig. 7 Optimized structures for *trans*- $[Cl(dhpm)_2RuC_3{N(CH_3)_2}-(CH_3)]^+$ in the planar and orthogonal orientations.

atom indicates that in the orthogonal orientation the nitrogen lone pair and the π -system of the RuC₃ entity are electronically decoupled. Qualitatively speaking, one might then expect the orthogonal rotameric form to more closely resemble a genuine cumulenic structure than is the case for the ground state structure, where the nitrogen lone pair is delocalized into the unsaturated π -system. Interatomic distances of both optimized forms as calculated by the ADF/BP and the G98/B3LYP levels of theory are compared in Table 4. Upon rotation of the NR₂ moiety to the orthogonal orientation the RuC_a bond contracts by 4 pm. The C_aC_{β} bond is somewhat elongated and the C_{β}C_{γ} bond is compressed with respect to the planar iminium alkynyl structure. These structure changes, albeit small, are in qualitative agreement with a higher allenylidene character of the orthogonal form.

We have also calculated the structure of trans-[Cl(dppm)₂- $RuC_{3}{N(CH_{3})_{2}}(CH_{3})]^{+}$, a model that is even closer to complex 2d studied by X-ray crystallography. The calculated bond parameters are provided in Table 1 and compared to the experimental values. The overall agreement between these data sets is remarkable. Replacement of the hydrogen atoms on the phosphine ligands by phenyl rings reproduces two important features of the experimental structure that were not seen in the dhpm model complex. Firstly, it induces a lowering of the symmetry such that all RuP bond lengths are now different. Moreover, the N(CH₃)₂ entity is now rotated out of the ClRuC₃NC-plane. The calculated torsional angle C(2)-C(3)-N–C(5) is -11.0° and slightly exceeds the experimental value of -7.3° . We note, that the degree of out-of-plane rotation strongly depends on the orientation of the phenyl rings which is slightly different in the calculated and the experimental structures. This latter observation shows that steric repulsion between the NRR' group and the phenyl substituents on the phosphine ligands is responsible for this out-of-plane distortion.

Conclusions

Aminoallenylidene complexes trans-[Cl(dppm)₂RuC₃(NRR')- $(CH_3)^{+}$ are obtained from the regioselective addition of secondary amines to trans-[Cl(dppm)₂Ru=C=C=C=CH₂]⁺. Unsymmetrically substituted amines give rise to Z/E isomeric mixtures, where the E isomer with the sterically more demanding amine substituent pointing away from the metal dominates. The E/Z isomeric ratio increases with a larger size difference between the unlike substituents R and R'. Rotation around the CN bond is slow due to a high energy barrier separating the two rotamers, which points to a prevalence of the iminium alkynyl resonance form. This finds support from the X-ray structure analysis of the Z isomer of the (benzylmethyl)methylamine derivative 2d. Dynamic ³¹P NMR spectroscopy gave a barrier of about 85 kJ mol⁻¹ in good agreement with the values observed for donor substituted organic iminium salts. The issue of NR₂ rotation was also addressed by DFT calculations on the trans-[Cl(dhpm)₂RuC₃{N(CH₃)₂}(CH₃)]⁺ model complex $(dhpm = H_2PCH_2PH_2)$. Our results indicate that rotation around the iminium type CN bond decouples the nitrogen lone pair and the π -system of the allenylidene ligand resulting in a significantly longer CN bond and a tetrahedrally coordinated nitrogen atom.

Experimental

General reaction conditions

All reactions were performed under argon atmosphere but no special precautions as to exclude air or moisture were required during work-up. Common solvents were appropriately dried, distilled under argon and stored over molecular sieves under an argon atmosphere. Butadiyne was prepared as detailed in a previous publication²¹ and *cis*-RuCl₂(dppm)₂ according to the

literature.⁴¹ Column chromatography was performed in waterjacketed columns (4×20 cm) on silica gel (Merck 60) as the stationary phase.

Instrumentation

IR: Perkin-Elmer Paragon 1000 PC FT-IR. NMR: Bruker AC 250. Spectra were recorded using solutions in 5 mm sample tubes. UV/Vis: Shimadzu UV-160 or Omega 10 from Bruins Instruments. Measurements were performed in Quartz glass cuvettes of 1 cm optical path length. Electrochemistry: Potentiostat EG&G 273 A driven by the EG&G 250 software package. The cell construction and data analysis was as detailed elsewhere.²¹ Working electrodes: BAS platinum microelectrode 1 mm diameter or glassy carbon microelectrode 1.6 mm diameter. All potentials are given relative to the internal ferrocene/ ferrocenium couple. Spectroelectrochemistry: OTTLE cell as described by Hartl and coworkers.⁴² EPR-spectroscopy: Bruker ESP 3000 spectrometer, HP frequency counter 5350 B, Bruker NMR gaussmeter ER 035 M and continuous flow cryostat ESR 900 from Oxford Instruments for low temperature work.

Calculations

Ground-state electronic structure calculations have been performed by density-functional theory (DFT) methods using the Amsterdam Density Functional (ADF2000.2)43,44 and Gaussian 9845 program packages. Within Gaussian-98 Dunning's valence double- ξ functions⁴⁶ with polarization functions were used for C, N and H atoms and quasirelativistic effective core pseudo-potentials and corresponding optimized basis functions for P,⁴⁷ Cl⁴⁷ and Ru⁴⁸ atoms. Hybrid Becke's three-parameter functional with Lee, Yang and Parr correlation functional (B3LYP)⁴⁹ was used in Gaussian 98 calculations (G98/B3LYP). Within the ADF program Slater type orbital (STO) basis sets were used. Within phenyl substituents basis sets of single- ξ quality for C and H atoms were used while triple- ξ quality basis sets with polarization functions for H, C, N, P, Cl and Ru were employed for the remaining part of the system. Inner shells were treated within the frozen-core approximation (1s for C and N, 1s-2p for P and Cl, 1s-3d for Ru). Local density approximation (LDA) with VWN parametrization of electron gas data including Becke's gradient correction⁵⁰ to the local exchange expression in conjunction with Perdew's gradient correction⁵¹ to the LDA correlation was used (ADF/BP). The scalar relativistic (SR) zero-order regular approximation (ZORA) was used within this study. For the calculation of the rotational barrier the real complex was approximated by a model system where the PPh2 entities were replaced by PH2 groups. The calculations on this model have been performed by both ADF/BP and G98/B3LYP methods. ADF/BP was utilized for geometry optimization of [Cl(dppm)₂RuCCC{N(CH₃)₂}(CH₃)]⁺.

Syntheses and characterization

A representative procedure for the synthesis of complexes 2a-e is as follows: cis-[RuCl₂(dppm)₂] (180 mg, 0.181 mmol) and NaSbF₆ (191 mg, 0.74 mmol) were dissolved/suspended in 50 ml of dichloromethane and excess butadiyne was added via a precooled pipette. CAUTION: Butadiyne should be handled and stored under rigorous exclusion of air and at temperatures below 230 K. The mixture was stirred for ca. 30 min until the solution phase colour had changed to intense green. The appropriate amine was then added (ca. 6 eq.) and stirring was continued at ambient temperature until occasional IR control indicated constant intensity of the strong "allenylidene" band (typically 2-3 days). The mixture was filtered over a papertipped cannula and the solvent removed in vacuo, leaving an intense coloured, somewhat oily or tarry residue. This was washed with copious amounts of ether and then hexanes in order to remove the excess amine and then dried under reduced pressure. This crude product was employed for the determination of the E/Z isomer distribution by ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectroscopy. Further purification was achieved by column chromatography employing CH₂Cl₂–CH₃CN 25 : 1 \rightarrow 10 : 1 (v/v) as the mobile phase. Collecting the intense orange–red or greenish-yellow band in two or three portions mostly resulted in partial enrichment of one of the isomers with respect to the original isomer distribution. The solvents were removed and the powdery residues further purified by reprecipitation/recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂–Et₂O. In the case of the iminostilbene complex **2b** several reprecipitation steps were required in order to remove the last traces of the amine while in the case of the phenothiazine derived complex **2c** the excess amine was removed by vacuum sublimation.

trans-[Cl(dppm)₂Ru=C=C=C(NEt₂)(CH₃)]⁺SbF₆⁻ (2a). (a) Procedure as detailed above. Yield: 174 mg (72%) Anal. Found: C, 55.62; H, 4.46; N, 1.18. Calc. for C₅₈H₅₇ClF₆N- P_4 RuSb: C, 55.10; H, 4.54; N, 1.11%. IR (KBr)/cm⁻¹: v(CCC) 1984 (vs), v(C=N) 1556 (s), v(SbF₆⁻) 694 (s), 657 (vs). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.54 [t, ³J(H,H) 7.20 Hz, 3H, CH₃ (Z)], 0.95 [t, ³J(H,H) 7.25 Hz, 3H, CH₃ (E)], 1.15 [s, 3H, CH₃], 2.61 [quart, ³*J*(H,H) 7.20 Hz, 2H, CH₂ (*Z*)], 3.18 [quart, ³*J*(H,H) 7.25 Hz, 2H, CH₂ (E)], 4.80 [dquint, ${}^{2}J(H,H)$ 14.9, ${}^{2}J(P,H) = {}^{4}J(P',H)$ 4.15 Hz, 2H, CH₂ (dppm)], 5.15 [dquint, ²J(H,H) 14.9 Hz, ${}^{2}J(P,H) = {}^{4}J(P',H) 4.5 Hz, 2H, CH_{2} (dppm)], 7.14 [t, {}^{3}J(H,H)$ 7.1 Hz, 8H, aryl-H (dppm)], 7.31 [m, 20H, aryl-H (dppm)], 7.41 (t, ³*J*(H,H) 7.1 Hz, 4H, aryl-H (dppm)], 7.53 [m, 8H, aryl-H (dppm)]. ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (CD₃CN): δ 12.53, 12.61 [s, CH₃ (Et)], 24.2 [s, CH₃], 44.4 [s, NCH₂ (E)], 47.8 [s, NCH₂ (Z)], 48.7 [quint, J(P,C) 11.1 Hz, CH₂ (dppm)], 119.1 (quint, ³J(P,C) 1.7 Hz, C_B], 127.8, 128.4 [quint, J(P,C) 2.4 Hz, m-C₆H₅], 129.9, 130.5 [s, p-C₆H₅], 132.4 [quint, J(P,C) 11.7 Hz, ipso-C₆H₅] 132.9 [quint, J(P,C) 3.1 Hz, o-C₆H₅], 133.0 [quint, J(P,C) 2.9 Hz, o-C₆H₅], 134.0 [quint, J(P,C) 11.3 Hz, ipso-C₆H₅] 154.2 [quint, ${}^{4}J(P,C) 0.8 \text{ Hz}, C_{\gamma}], 204.3 \text{ [quint, } {}^{2}J(P,C) 13.9 \text{ Hz}, C_{a}\text{]}. {}^{31}P{}^{1}H}$ NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta - 8.7$ [s, P (dppm)]. UV/Vis (λ_{max}/nm , (log ε)) (CH₃CN): 208 (4.80), 230 (sh, 4.70), 260 (4.49), 387 (4.33), 460 (sh, 3.11), 620 (2.55); (CH₂Cl₂): 266 (4.65), 396 (4.15), 467 (sh, 3.23), 623 (2.59). From 4-diethylaminomethyl-2,5-dimethylphenol. A sus-(b)

(b) From 4-diethylaminomethyl-2,3-dimethylphenol. A suspension of 1 (175 mg, 0.186 mmol) and NaSbF₆ (192 mg, 0.744 mmol) in 45 ml of chlorobenzene was treated with excess butadiyne. After the solution phase had taken on a green coloration, 154 mg (0.744 mmol) of the amine was added. The solution was stirred under ambient conditions for 4 days until the developing IR band at 1994 cm⁻¹ had reached constant intensity. Excess NaSbF₆ and NaCl were removed by filtration and the solvent was distilled off under reduced pressure. The oily residue was washed with ether and hexanes, dried *in vacuo* and the crude, powdery product purified by column chromatography. The yield of pure product was 60%.

trans-[Cl(dppm)₂Ru=C=C=C{N(C₆H₄CH=)₂}(CH₃)]+SbF₆⁻

(2b). Yield: 140 mg (53%). Anal. Found: C, 58.79; H, 4.08; N, 0.99. Calc. for C68H57ClF6NP4RuSb: C, 59.00; H, 4.15; N, 1.01%. IR (KBr)/cm⁻¹: ν (CCC) 1953 (vs), ν (C=N) 1493 (m), $v(SbF_6^{-})$ 694 (s), 657 (vs). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 0.89 [s, 3H, CH₃], 4.86 [dquint, ${}^{2}J(H,H)$ 14.9 Hz, ${}^{2}J(P,H) = {}^{4}J(P',H)$ 4.6 Hz, 2H, CH₂ (dppm)], 5.11 [dquint, ²J(H,H) 14.7 Hz, ²J(P,H) = ⁴*J*(P',H) 4.6 Hz, 2H, CH₂ (dppm)], 5.60 [d, ³*J*(H,H) 7.6 Hz, 1H, =CH], 6.85 [d, ³J(H,H) 11.6 Hz, 1H, =CH], 6.94 [d, ³J(H,H) 7.6 Hz, 1H, =CH], 7.04 [d, ³*J*(H,H) 11.6 Hz, 1H, =CH], 7.18 [m, 8H, aryl-H (dppm)], 7.24-7.40 [m, 23H, aryl-H], 7.45-7.52 [m, 9H, aryl-H], 7.64 [t, ³J(H,H) 7.4 Hz, 2H, aryl-H], 7.74 [m, 4H, aryl-H]. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 27.7 [s, CH₃], 46.6 [quint, J(P,C) 11.3 Hz, CH₂ (dppm)], 124.8, 125.2 [s, C4,4' (iminostilbene)], 127.9 [t, J(P,C) 4.7 Hz, m-C₆H₅], 128.0 [t, J(P,C) = 5.3 Hz, m-C₆H₅], 128.60, 128.64 [t, J(P,C) 4.7 Hz, m-C₆H₅], 128.65, 129.29, 129.44, 129.56, 129.82, 130.10, 130.13, 130.23, 130.25, 130.43, 130.52 [s, *p*-C₆H₅, CH (iminostilbene)], 131.4 [tt, *J*(P,C) 20.0, 4.2 Hz, *ipso*-C₆H₅], 131.5 [s, C (iminostilbene)], 131.7 [s, CH (iminostilbene)], 132.00 [t, *J*(P,C) = 6.1 Hz, *o*-C₆H₅], 132.04 [C (iminostilbene)], 132.50 (tt, *J*(P,C) 19.0, 4.7 Hz, *ipso*-C₆H₅], 132.60 [tt, *J*(P,C) 19.5, 3.7 Hz, *ipso*-C₆H₅], 133.0 (t, *J*(P,C) 5.8 Hz, *o*-C₆H₅], 133.6 [t, *J*(P,C) 6.3 Hz, *o*-C₆H₅], 134.6 [t, *J*(P,C) 6.8 Hz, *o*-C₆H₅], 134.8 (tt, *J*(P,C) 19.3, 3.7 Hz, *ipso*-C₆H₅], 138.1, 140.8 [s, C (iminostilbene)], 155.6 [br, C_γ], 229.1 [quint, ²*J*(P,C) 13.2 Hz, C_a] (C_β was not observed). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): *δ* -6.7 [AA' part of an AA'BB' spin system], -8.0 [BB' part of an AA'BB' spin system, all P (dppm)]. UV/Vis (λ_{max} /nm (log ε)) (CH₃CN): 207 (4.95), 230 (sh, 4.83), 266 (4.71), 300 (sh, 4.11), 423 (4.38), 505 (sh, 2.98); (CH₂Cl₂): 268 (4.71), 304 (sh, 4.08), 427 (4.38), 506 (sh, 2.96), 695 (2.08).

trans-[Cl(dppm)₂Ru=C=C=C{N(C₆H₄)₂S}(CH₃)]⁺SbF₆⁻ (2c). Yield: 132 mg, 53%. Anal. Found: C, 56.99; H, 4.15, N, 0.87. Calc. for C₆₆H₅₅ClF₆NP₄RuSSb: C, 57.01; H, 3.99; N: 1.01%. IR (KBr)/ cm^{-1} : v(CCC) 1941 (vs), v(C=N) 1492 (m), $v(SbF_6)$ 694 (s), 658 (vs). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 1.02 [s, 3H, CH₂], 4.73 [dquint, ${}^{2}J(H,H)$ 14.9 Hz, ${}^{2}J(P,H) = {}^{4}J(P',H)$ 4.6 Hz, 2H, CH₂ (dppm)], 5.10 [dquint, ${}^{2}J(H,H)$ 14.9 Hz, ${}^{2}J(P,H) =$ ⁴J(P',H) 4.6 Hz, 2H, CH₂ (dppm)], 5.91 [d, ³J(H,H) 7.8 Hz, 1H, phenothiazine), 6.97 (vt, ³J(H,H) 7.8 Hz, 1H, phenothiazine), 7.10-7.60 [m, 46 H, phenyl (dppm, phenothiazine)]. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 29.4 [s, CH₃], 46.6 [quint, J(P,C) 11.3 Hz, CH₂ (dppm)], 126.8 [s, br, C_B], 126.0-134.1 [several m, phenyl (dppm) and CH (phenothiazine)], 137.0, 139.9 [s, C^{1}, C^{6} (phenothiazine)], 152.7 [s, br, C_{γ}], 235.3 [quint, ²J(P,C) 13.1 Hz, C_{a}]. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ -6.3 [AA' part of an AA'BB' spin system], -9.7 [BB' part of an AA'BB' spin system, all P (dppm)]. UV/Vis (λ_{max}/nm (log ε)) (CH₃CN): 210 (4.83), 226 (sh, 4.81), 258 (4.67), 320 (sh, 3.90), 445 (4.21), 733 (3.64); (CH₂Cl₂): 260 (4.68), 320 (sh, 3.85), 452 (4.22), 740 (3.63).

ZIE-trans-[Cl(dppm)₂Ru=C=C=C(NMeCH₂Ph)(CH₃)]⁺SbF₆⁻ (2d). Yield: 145 mg (0.110 mmol, 58%) Anal. Found: C, 56.38; H, 4.28; N, 1.05. Calc. for C₆₂H₅₇ClF₆NP₄RuSb: C, 56.75; H, 4.38; N: 1.07%. IR (KBr)/cm⁻¹: v(CCC) 1991 (vs), v(C=N) 1565 (m), $v(SbF_6^{-})$ 695 (s), 657 (vs). Z-2d: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.20 [s, 3H, CH₃], 2.68 [s, 3H, NCH₃], 3.93 [s, 2H, NCH₂], 4.79 [dquint, ${}^{2}J(H,H)$ 14.9 Hz, ${}^{2}J(P,H) = {}^{4}J(P',H)$ 4.3 Hz, 2H, CH₂ (dppm)], 5.06-5.15 [m, 2H, CH, (dppm)], 6.67 [m, 2H, CH (Bz)]. ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 24.9 [s, CH₃], 37.2 [s, NCH₃], 48.7 [quint, J(P,C) 11.1 Hz, CH₂ (dppm)], 58.6 [s, NCH₂], 119.1 [quint, ${}^{3}J(P,C) = 1.8$ Hz, C_{β}], 127.8 [quint, J(P,C) 2.6 Hz, m-C₆H₅], 128.5 [quint, J(P,C) 2.3 Hz, m-C₆H₅], 130.00, 130.4, [s, p-C₆H₅], 132.25 [quint, J(P,C) 11.6 Hz, ipso-C₆H₅), 132.7 [quint, J(P,C) 3.2 Hz, o-C₆H₅], 133.4 [m, o-C₆H₅], 133.6 (quint, J(P,C) 11.1 Hz, *ipso*-C₆H₅], 154.3 [br, C_γ], 206.2 [quint, ²J(P,C) 13.7 Hz, C_a,). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ -8.0 [s, P (dppm)]. *E*-2d: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.28 [s, 3H, CH₃], 2.13 [s, 3H, NCH₃], 4.46 [s, 2H, NCH₂], 4.96 [dquint, ${}^{2}J(H,H)$ 15.1 Hz, ${}^{2}J(P,H) = {}^{4}J(P',H)$ 4.1 Hz, 2H, CH₂ (dppm)], 5.06-5.15 [m, 2H, CH₂ (dppm)], 6.81 [m, 2H, CH (Bz)]. ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 24.3 [s, CH₃], 41.2 [s, NCH₃], 48.1 [quint, J(P,C) 10.9 Hz, CH₂ (dppm)], 55.9 [s, NCH₂], 120.9 [quint, ${}^{3}J(P,C) = 1.6$ Hz, C_β], 127.9 [quint, J(P,C)2.6 Hz, m-C₆H₅), 128.4 [quint, J(P,C) 2.4 Hz, m-C₆H₅], 130.01, 130.5, [s, p-C₆H₅], 132.34 [quint, J(P,C) 11.6 Hz, ipso-C₆H₅], 132.8 [quint, J(P,C) 3.2 Hz, o-C₆H₅], 133.4 [m, o-C₆H₅], 133.9 [quint, J(P,C) 11.3 Hz, ipso-C₆H₅], 156.3 [br, C_γ], 209.8 [quint, $^{2}J(P,C)$ 13.7 Hz, C_a]. $^{31}P{^{1}H}$ NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ -8.7 [s, P (dppm)]. The following resonance signals could not be attributed to the individual isomers: ¹H NMR δ 7.18 [m, 10 H], 7.25–7.38 [m, 27 H], 7.52 [m, 8H] all aryl-H (dppm, benzyl). ¹³C NMR: δ 126.5, 127.8, 128.9, 129.0, 133.0 aryl-C of the benzyl substituent. UV/Vis (λ_{max} /nm (log ε)) (CH₂Cl₂): 267 (4.61), 400 (4.18), 464 (sh, 3.59), 500 (sh, 3.52), 627 (2.76).

ZIE-trans-[Cl(dppm)₂Ru=C=C=C(NMeCH₂anthracenyl)-(CH₃)]⁺SbF₆⁻ (2e). Yield: 59%. Anal. Found: C, 57.86; H, 4.22; N, 1.01. Calc. for C₇₀H₆₁ClF₆NP₄RuSb: C, 59.53; H, 4.35; N: 0.99%. IR (KBr)/cm⁻¹: v(CCC) 1993 (vs), 1561 v(C=N) (m), $v(SbF_6^{-1})$ 696 (s), 657 (vs). Z-2e: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.27 [s, 3H, CH₃], 2.27 [s, 3H, NCH₃], 5.19 [s, 2H, NCH₂]. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 24.8 [s, CH₃], 37.6 [s, NCH₃], 47.5 [s, NCH₂], 47.6 [quint, J(P,C) 11.6 Hz, CH₂ (dppm)], 120.1 [br, C_β], 130.4, 130.8, [s, p-C₆H₅], 132.4 [quint, J(P,C) 11.6 Hz, ipso-C₆H₅], 132.6 [m, o-C₆H₅], 133.3 [quint, J(P,C) 3.2 Hz, o-C₆H₅], 154.3 [br, C_{y}], 206.2 (quint, ²*J*(P,C) 13.7 Hz, C_{a}]. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta - 8.6$ [s, P (dppm)]. *E*-2e: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta 1.10$ [s, 3H, CH₃], 2.44 [s, 3H, NCH₃], 4.69 [s, 2H, NCH₂]. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 25.0 [s, CH₃], 35.4 [s, NCH₃], 48.4 [quint, J(P,C) 12.1 Hz, CH₂ (dppm)], 51.0 [s, NCH₂], 119.8 [br, C₈], 130.5, 130.9 [s, p-C₆H₅], 132.2 (quint, J(P,C) 11.6 Hz, ipso-C₆H₅], 132.6 [m, o-C₆H₅], 133.4 [quint, J(P,C) 3.2 Hz, o-C₆H₅], 133.8 (quint, J(P,C) 11.6 Hz, *ipso*-C₆H₅], 156.2 [br, C₇], 210.2 [quint, ²J(P,C) 13.7 Hz, C₆]. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ -8.1 [s, P (dppm)]. The following resonance signals could not unambiguously assigned to the individual isomers: ¹H NMR: δ 4.80, 4.95 each [m, 2H CH₂ (dppm)], 7.00 [m, 12 H], 7.10–7.28 [m, 18H], 7.37 [m, 5H], 7.42 [m, 5H], 7.80-7-88 [m, 4H], 8.15 [m, 3H], all aryl-H (dppm, anthracenyl); ¹³C{¹H} NMR: δ 127.8, 128.4 [m, m-C₆H₅], 123.3, 124.0, 124.3, 125.2, 125.5, 126.9, 127.1, 127.9, 129.0, 129.5, 130.1, 130.4, 130.5, 130.88, 130.91, 130.98, 131.03, 131.4, 131.6, 131.7 all aryl-C (anthracenyl). UV/Vis (λ_{max} /nm (log ε)) (CH₂Cl₂): 259 (4.57), 274 (sh, 4.55), 335 (4.07), 352 (4.22), 368 (4.38), 388 (4.38), 399 (4.36), 477 (sh, 3.29), 557 (sh, 2.92), 742 (2.23).

ZIE-trans-[Cl(dppm)₂Ru=C=C=C(NMe'Bu)(CH₃)]⁺SbF₆⁻

(2f). This compound was purified by recrystallization from CHCl₃-Et₂O; yield 75%. Anal. Found: C, 54.75; H, 4.86; N, 1.05. Calc. for C₅₉H₆₇ClF₆NP₄RuSb: C, 55.09; H, 5.25; N, 1.09%. IR (KBr)/cm⁻¹: v(CCC) 1996 (unsymmetrical band with low energy shoulder, vs), v(C=N) 1586 (m). Z-2f: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.87 [s, 3H, CH₃], 1.27 [s, 9H, CH₃], 2.77 [s, 3H, NCH₃], 4.76 [dquint, ${}^{2}J(H,H) = 14.9$, ${}^{2}J(P,H) = {}^{4}J(P',H)$ 4.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂ (dppm)], 4.89–4.97 [m, 2H, CH₂ (dppm)]. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 27.5 [s, C(CH₃)₃], 29.7 [s, CH₃], 36.6 [s, NCH₃], 48.7 [quint, J(P,C) 11.1 Hz, CH₂ (dppm)], 63.7 [s, $C(CH_3)_3$], 124.5 [br, C_β], 128.3 [quint, J(P,C) = 2.6 Hz, $m-C_6H_5$], 129.1 [quint, J(P,C) = 2.4 Hz), 130.4, 131.2 [s, $p-C_6H_5$], 132.5 [quint, J(P,C) 11.6 Hz, ipso-C₆H₅], 133.4, 133.5 [quint, J(P,C) 3.2 Hz, o-C₆H₅), 134.2 [quint, J(P,C) 11.1 Hz, ipso-C₆H₅), 158.2 [br, C_{y}], 213.7 [quint, ${}^{2}J(P,C) = 13.3$ Hz, C_{a}]. ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (CD₂Cl₂,): δ -9.1 [s, P (dppm)]. E-2f: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.97 [s, 3H, CH₃], 1.36 [s, 9H, CH₃], 2.18 [s, 3H, NCH₃], 4.89–4.97 [m, 2H, CH₂ (dppm)], 5.11 [dquint, ²J(H,H) 14.9 Hz, ²J(P,H) = ${}^{4}J(P',H)$ 4.6 Hz, 2H). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 25.4 [s, C(CH₃)₃], 28.0 [s, CH₃], 40.2 [s, NCH₃], 48.5 [quint, J(P,C) 11.1 Hz, CH₂ (dppm)], 63.0 [s, C(CH₃)₃], 123.3 [br, C_β], 128.3 [quint, J(P,C) 2.6 Hz, m-C₆H₅], 128.8 [quint, J(P,C) = 2.4 Hz, m-C₆H₅], 130.5, 130.9 [s, p-C₆H₅], 132.9 [quint, J(P,C) 10.3 Hz, ipso-C₆H₅], 133.2 [quint, J(P,C) 3.2 Hz, o-C₆H₅), 133.6 [quint, J(P,C) 2.9 Hz, o-C₆H₅], 134.2 (quint, J(P,C) 11.3 Hz,, ipso-C₆H₅], 156.8 [br, C_{y}], 206.8 [quint, ²J(P,C) 13.4 Hz, C_{ay}). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD_2Cl_2) : $\delta - 9.5$ [s, P (dppm)]. The following resonance signals could not be assigned to the individual isomers: ¹H NMR: δ 7.22 [m, 8H], 7.30–7.45 [m, 24H], 7.52, 7.65 each [m, 8H], all aryl-H (dppm). ¹³C NMR: δ 123.0, 124.5 [each br, C_B]. The resonance signal of one of the ipso-C-atoms of a dppm ligand for the Z-isomer could not be located. UV/Vis $(\lambda_{max}/nm (\log \varepsilon))$ (CH₂Cl₂): 265 (4.40), 322 (3.87), 338 (3.87), 395 (3.97), 468 (sh, 3.76).

X-Ray analysis of Z-trans-[Cl(dppm)₂Ru=C=C=C(NMe-CH₂Ph)(CH₃)]⁺SbF₆⁻ (2d). Dark yellow blocky crystals were obtained by slow concentration of a solution in CH_2Cl_2 -Et₂O

 Table 5
 Crystallographic data for trans, Z-2d

Formula	C H CIE NP Rush
M	1312 24
T/K	173(2)
λ/Å	0 71073
Crystal system	Monoclinic
Space group	$P2_1/c$
alÅ	12.8677(9)
b/Å	24.811(3)
c/Å	21.3714(16)
βl°	106.557(4)
$V/Å^3$	6540.3(10)
Ζ	4
$D_{\rm c}/{\rm Mg}~{\rm m}^{-3}$	1.333
μ/mm^{-1}	0.835
F(000)	2648
Crystal size/mm	$0.3 \times 0.3 \times 0.2$
θ Range for data collection/°	2.15 to 24.00
Index ranges for data collection	$-1 \le h \le 14, -28 \le k \le 28, \\ -24 \le l \le 23$
No of reflues measured	12324
No. of indep. reflns. (R_{int})	10209 (0.0422)
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2
Data/restraints/parameters	10209/979/790
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.030
Final R indices $[I > 4\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0693, wR_2 = 0.1587$
R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.1212, wR_2 = 0.1785$
Largest diff. peak and hole/e Å ⁻³	0.995 and -0.995

(5:1) at ambient temperature. Data collection was performed on a Siemens P4 diffractometer at 173 K. The structure was solved by direct methods employing the SHELX-97 and SHELXS-97 program packages.⁵² Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. The SbF₆⁻ anion is disordered over two positions. Hydrogen atoms were added at calculated positions and assigned isotropic displacement parameters equal to 1.2 (CH, CH₂) or 1.5 times (CH₃) of the U_{iso} value of their respective parent carbon atoms and treated with appropriate riding models during the refinement. Other data pertinent to the data collection and structure solution are collected in Table 5.

CCDC reference number 175409.

See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/b2/b211774f/ for crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and VW-Stiftung for financial support of this work. We also thank Johnson Matthey Inc. for a generous loan of RuCl₃.

References

- 1 V. Cadierno, M. P. Gamasa and J. Gimeno, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2001, 571.
- 2 M. I. Bruce, Chem. Rev., 1998, 98, 2797.
- 3 D. Touchard and P. H. Dixneuf, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1998, **178–180**, 409.
- 4 H. Werner, Chem. Commun., 1997, 903.
- 5 J. P. Selegue, Organometallics, 1982, 1, 217
- 6 E.-O. Fischer, H. J. Kalder, A. Frank, F. H. Köhler and G. Huttner, *Angew. Chem.*, 1976, **88**, 683.
- 7 M. Duetsch, F. Stein, R. Lackmann, E. Pohl, R. Herbst-Irmer and A. d. Meijere, *Chem. Ber.*, 1992, **125**, 2051.
- 8 F. Stein, M. Duetsch, E. Pohl, R. Herbst-Irmer and A. d. Meijere, Organometallics, 1993, 12, 2556.
- 9 R. Aumann, B. Jasper and R. Fröhlich, Organometallics, 1995, 14, 3173.
- 10 G. Roth and H. Fischer, Organometallics, 1996, 15, 1139.
- 11 M. I. Bruce, P. Hinterding, P. J. Low, B. W. Skelton and A. H. White, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 1009.
- 12 M. I. Bruce, P. Hinterding, P. J. Low, B. W. Skelton and A. H. White, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1998, 467.
- 13 D. Touchard, P. Haquette, A. Daridor, L. Toupet and P. H. Dixneuf, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1994, **116**, 11157.

- 14 D. Péron, A. Romero and P. H. Dixneuf, Organometallics, 1995, 14, 3319.
- 15 R. F. Winter, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 4248
- 16 R. F. Winter, K.-W. Klinkhammer and S. Zális, Organometallics, 2001. 20. 1317.
- 17 J. R. Lomprey and J. P. Selegue, Organometallics, 1993, 12, 616.
- 18 P. Haquette, D. Touchard, L. Toupet and P. Dixneuf, J. Organomet. Chem., 1998, 565, 63. 19 V. Guillaume, P. Thominot, F. Coat, A. Mari and C. Lapinte,
- J. Organomet. Chem., 1998, 565, 75.
- 20 N. Re, A. Sgamellotti and C. Floriani, Organometallics, 2000, 19, 1115.
- 21 R. F. Winter and F. M. Hornung, Organometallics, 1999, 18, 4005.
- 22 J. Pospísek, M. Pisova and M. Soucek, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun., 1975, 40, 142.
- 23 J. Sandström In Dynamic NMR Spectroscopy, Academic Press, London, 1982.
- 24 H. Günther, NMR-Spektroskopie, Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart, 1992.
- 25 C. G. McCarty, in The chemistry of the carbon-nitrogen double bond, ed. S. Patai, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1970.
- 26 C. Rabiller, J. P. Renou and G. J. Martin, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1977, 536.
- 27 G. Roth, H. Fischer, T. Meyer-Friedrichsen, J. Heck, S. Houbrechts and A. Persoons, Organometallics, 1998, 17, 1511.
- 28 J. Manna, K. D. John and M. D. Hopkins, *Adv. Organomet. Chem*, 1995, **38**, 79.
- 29 D. R. Lide and H. P. Frederikse, CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 76th edn., 1995.
- 30 M. Svaan and V. D. Parker, Acta Chem. Scand., Ser. B, 1982, 36, 351.
- 31 F. G. Bordwell, X.-M. Zhang and J.-P. Cheng, J. Org. Chem., 1993, 58, 6410.
- 32 M. D. Ward and J. A. McCleverty, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2002 275
- 33 K. J. Harlow, A. F. Hill and J. D. E. T. Wilton-Ely, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1999, 285.
- 34 F. Paul, J.-Y. Mevellec and C. Lapinte, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2002.1783.
- 35 R. F. Winter, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, 2121. 36 S. Hartmann, R. F. Winter, T. Scheiring and M. Wanner, J. Organomet. Chem., 2001, 637-639, 240.

- 37 S. Rigaut, O. Maury, D. Touchard and P. H. Dixneuf, Chem. Commun., 2001, 373
- 38 H. Goetz, F. Nerdel and K.-H. Wiechel, Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1963, 665, 1.
- 39 I. R. Whittall, A. W. McDonagh and M. G. Humphrey, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 1998, 42, 291
- 40 I. R. Whittall, M. G. Humphrey, M. Samoc, J. Swiatkiewicz and B. Luther-Davies, Organometallics, 1995, 14, 5493.
- 41 B. Chaudret, G. Commenges and R. Poilblanc, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1984, 1635.
- 42 M. Krejcik, M. Danek and F. Hartl, J. Electroanal. Chem., 1991, 317. 179.
- 43 C. Fonseca Guerra, J. G. Snyders, G. te Velde and E. J. Baerends, Theor. Chim. Acta, 1998, 99, 391.
- 44 S. J. A. van Gisbergen, J. G. Snijders and E. J. Baerends, Comput. Phys. Commun., 1999, 118, 119.
- 45 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., R. E. Stratmann, J. C. Burant, S. Dapprich, J. M. Millam, A. D. Daniels, K. N. Kudin, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, J. Tomasi, Barone, M. Cossi, R. Cammi, B. Mennucci, C. Pomelli, C. Adamo, S. Clifford, J. Ochterski, G. A. Petersson, P. Y. Ayala, Q. Cui, K. Morokuma, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, Ŕ. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. Cioslowski, J. V. Ortiz, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. Gomperts, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, C. Gonzalez, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. G. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, J. L. Andres, M. Head-Gordon, E. S. Replogle and J. A. Pople, GAUSSIAN 98 (Revision A.7), Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, 1998. 46 D. E. Woon and T. H. Dunning, J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 98, 1358
- 47 A. Bergner, M. Dolg, W. Kuechle, H. Stoll and H. Preuss, Mol. Phys., 1993, 80, 1431.
- 48 D. Andrae, U. Haeussermann, M. Dolg, H. Stoll and H. Preuss, Theor. Chim. Acta, 1990, 77, 123
- 49 P. J. Stephens, F. J. Devlin, C. F. Cabalowski and M. J. Frisch, J. Phys. Chem., 1994, 98, 11623.
- 50 A. D. Becke, Phys. Rev. A, 1988, 38, 3098.
- 51 J. P. Perdew, Phys. Rev. A, 1986, 33, 8822.
- 52 G. M. Sheldrick, Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Universität, Tammanstraße 4, Göttingen, 1997.